IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEVIN PATRICK FLOOD,

v.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:06-cv-82-KRG-KAP

TROOPER CHARLES SCHAEFER,

et al.,

Defendants

Memorandum Order

At docket no. 220, plaintiff Kevin Flood filed an appeal from the order portion of the Order and Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge at docket no. 219 that denied the plaintiff's motion at docket no. 218 styled "Plaintiff's Motion to REQUESTING THE COURT TO PRESERVE AUDIO TAPE EVIDENCE FROM CRIMINAL CASE THIS CIVIL RIGHTS CASE," and objections to the recommendation portion of the Order and Report and Recommendation that recommended denial of the motion at docket no. 216 styled "Objections and Motion for Reconsideration to Memorandum Order dated September 23, 2013 Under Fed.R.Civ.P. 59(e), from Judge Gibson."

After <u>de novo</u> review of the record, including the Order and Report and Recommendation at docket no. 219 and the timely objections at docket no. 220, I find that the Magistrate Judge's order is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law, see Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a) and 28 U.S.C.§ 636(b)(1), and the appeal is There is no need for a motion to preserve the evidence from the criminal trial: evidence filed with the Court remains with the Court. The motion at docket no. 218 is otherwise repetitive of previous motions.

The motion to reconsider at docket no. 216 is denied as repetitive and meritless.

BY THE COURT:

DATE: February 10, 2015

KIM R. GIBSON,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Notice to counsel of record by ECF and by U.S. Mail to:

Kevin P. Flood, Reg. No. 11130-068 F.C.I. Terminal Island

P.O. Box 3007

1299 Seaside Avenue San Pedro, CA 90731